

Message

From: Miller, Wynne [Miller.Wynne@epa.gov]
Sent: 10/31/2018 1:32:17 PM
To: Baptist, Erik [Baptist.Erik@epa.gov]; Bertrand, Charlotte [Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]; Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]; Tyree, JamesN [tyree.jamesn@epa.gov]
CC: Kaul, Monisha [Kaul.Monisha@epa.gov]; Keller, Kaitlin [keller.kaitlin@epa.gov]; Keigwin, Richard [Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: CB_10_30_OCSPP Comments on Dicamba Benefits 10-30_BEAD_response_Clean.docx
Attachments: OCSPP Comments on Dicamba Benefits 10-30_BEAD_response_JT EB_CBv3_clean4.docx

Hi All,

Use this one per our conversation this morning. To find the pertinent sections from this morning's discussion ... see teal blue side bubbles (added last night) or the red side bubbles (added this morning). Sorry about all the bubbles – I wish I knew a better way to draw your attention to the right sections ... I'm going to see these bubble in my dreams!!

Send email if you need to talk since I will be in PPDC.

From: Miller, Wynne
Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2018 11:39 PM
To: Baptist, Erik <baptist.erik@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Tyree, JamesN <tyree.jamesn@epa.gov>
Cc: Kaul, Monisha <Kaul.Monisha@epa.gov>; Keller, Kaitlin <keller.kaitlin@epa.gov>; Richard Keigwin <Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: CB_10_30_OCSPP Comments on Dicamba Benefits 10-30_BEAD_response_Clean.docx

Hi Erik, Nancy and Charlotte ...

Attached are two versions:

1 – A redline strikeout (RLSO) with all the edits and comment bubbles. I used Erik's version and inserted Charlotte's edits where appropriate. I also inserted a few edits from Ariadne. When reviewed, I turned off everyone's comment bubbles except "James, Erik, Monisha and me" so it was easier to track. And I resolved older comments. Unfortunately, I don't think the file will maintain that feature when you receive it. Note that we will need to talk at the 8am meeting about how to reconcile a few highlighted comments (mostly between Erik's/Charlotte's edits).

2 – A clean version with comments bubbles so it's easier to read.

I'll have the team double check the reference list, grammar, spacing, etc. in the morning.

Thx
Wynne

From: Baptist, Erik
Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2018 7:15 PM
To: Miller, Wynne <Miller.Wynne@epa.gov>; Keller, Kaitlin <keller.kaitlin@epa.gov>; Keigwin, Richard <Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov>
Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Tyree, JamesN <tyree.jamesn@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: CB_10_30_OCSPP Comments on Dicamba Benefits 10-30_BEAD_response_Clean.docx

Attached please find a version that incorporates edits/comments from Nancy, James, and me. I worked off a version that James sent me and incorporated Nancy's handwritten comments into this document. If you control for reviewers, you would probably only need to see markups from James and me.

Erik Baptist

Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1201 Constitution Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 564-1689
baptist.erik@epa.gov

From: Miller, Wynne

Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2018 7:09 PM

To: Keller, Kaitlin <keller.kaitlin@epa.gov>; Keigwin, Richard <Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>; Tyree, JamesN <tyree.jamesn@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: CB_10_30_OCSPP Comments on Dicamba Benefits 10-30_BEAD_response_Clean.docx

Thanks Kaitlin and Charlotte!!

One question for Charlotte – in the impacts section you deleted the discussion on the state impacts. Just to verify – you want me to strike similar language in the Executive Summary on pages 3-4, which currently reads: “The State FIFRA Issues Research and Evaluation Group (SFIREG) Regional Representatives provided information to the Agency on the resources devoted to investigation of incidents related to the off-target movement of dicamba (AAPCO, 2018b). Fourteen States/Territories that responded to the AAPCO survey (as of 11 Oct. 2018) provided information about their expenditures related to incidents alleging dicamba damage during the 2018 growing season. The estimated costs associated with the dicamba investigations range from ~\$32,000 to \$1,200,000 and between 0.25 to 38 FTEs depending on the state. “

Please verify ... Thanks,
Wynne

From: Keller, Kaitlin

Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2018 6:46 PM

To: Miller, Wynne <Miller.Wynne@epa.gov>; Keigwin, Richard <Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>; Tyree, JamesN <tyree.jamesn@epa.gov>

Subject: CB_10_30_OCSPP Comments on Dicamba Benefits 10-30_BEAD_response_Clean.docx

In the interest of time, I'm sending on Charlotte's comments on this version which are highlighted in blue. Erik is incorporating his comments in one document with Nancy's and James'. He'll send as soon as possible but it will be a bit later than 7pm.

Thanks,
Kaitlin

Kaitlin Keller, Special Assistant
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(202) 564-7098

